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“Changes in Latitudes”
Background

• **Rapidly** accumulating LiDAR datasets (FEMA-KYAPEP)

• LiDAR **standards** continuously improving – more complex and rich datasets (LAS 1.4 and counting…)

• A diverse range of **products** delivered:

  • LiDAR “point clouds”:
    - *elevation* (“height”) information
    - surface cover properties (intensity)
    - “*top of objects*”; “*interior*” vegetation (“canopy”); “bare earth”: “Ground Point”| “Model Key Point”

  • Derivatives:
    - TINs
    - Hydro-flattening/hydro-enforcing breaklines
    - DEMs
    - DSMs
    - DTEMs
Background (cont.)

- **Watershed delineation** (i.e. HUC boundaries): *new boundaries!*
- **Hydrology**: where water goes
- **Hydraulics**: how fast water moves (up, down, sideways)
- **Precision resource management**: agriculture, forestry, engineering, etc.
- **Modeling**: DEMs are a NECESSARY input to HAZUS (FEMA)
- **Visualization**: terrain is substrate to spatial landscape elements (3-D, 4-D)
- **Terrain data** are the UNDERPINNING for the best basemaps
  - Risk maps
  - Communities
  - Resources
  - Assets
  - Disaster/hazard mapping
  - Etc. etc. etc.
The LAS file contains LiDAR point data records. The Binary Data Format includes:

**Point Data:** X, Y, Z, Intensity, Scan Direction Flag, Edge of Flight Line, Classification, etc.

### ASPRS Standard LIDAR Point Classes Classification Value

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Classification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Created, never classified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Unclassified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Ground</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Low Vegetation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Medium Vegetation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>High Vegetation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Building</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Low Point (noise)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Model Key-point</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Reserved for ASPRS Definition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Reserved for ASPRS Definition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Overlap Points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>- 31 Reserved for ASPRS Definition</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Model Key Points (MKP): A “thinned out” version of “Ground Points”

- “Statistical filter”
  - Model-based, numerical/probabilistic (gamble!)
  - Retains only “meaningful” points
  - Results in “engineering” quality contour lines
  - Gets rid of “noise”
  - Reduces storage requirements
Model Key Points (MKP):

- *Information potentially “lost”*
  - Type/amount of plant (live/dead matter) cover
  - “Features” (e.g. archaeology, geology, agronomy)
  - Hydraulic information (breaklines?)
  - Micro-topography / micro-geomorphology
Min(d)ing the Difference!
(Ground Point Surface minus Model Key Point Surface)
**Contour Lines:**

* (A vector manifestation of the DTEM/DEM/DSM)

- **Source**
  1. From “Ground Point” class TIN
  2. From “Model Key Point” class TIN

- **MKP= “Engineering quality or grade”**
  - Not aesthetically pleasing
  - Accurate:
    - Not necessarily smooth, but they follow surface irregularities (i.e. all bumps)

---

**Contour quality**

The contour tools produce engineering-quality contours, representing an exact interpretation of the raster surface. Overall contour accuracy depends on how well the data used to create the input raster represents the actual surface.

The size of the raster cells used affects the appearance of the output contours. A large cell size may result in coarse, blocky contours.

Occasionally, engineering-quality contours may cross, appear to intersect, or form an unclosed branching line. *Crossing* contours can occur in saddle regions that lie exactly on a contour interval. In other cases, the contours may pass so close to one another that they appear to intersect. *Branching* contours can occur in cases of intersecting ridges that fall exactly on a contour interval. These are all valid engineering-quality interpretations of the surface that cartographers typically modify for aesthetic purposes.

*Esri ArcGIS 10.1 Help, 2012*
Algorithm – how does it work?

• Iterative

• Settings
  – Maximum positive/negative deviation from surface in feet
  – Minimum sampling distance (granularity)
  – TIN based

• Ground points are labeled MKP (or not)

• MKP a subset of GP class
Model Key Point cloud
2-foot contours
GP vs. MKP
2-foot contours
GP vs. MKP
Cartography to LiDAR’s rescue!

– “Cartographic quality or grade”
  • Aesthetically pleasing
  • Not necessarily accurate
  • Created by using thinning/smoothing algorithms:

  » **Simplify + Smooth GP**: Point removal (Douglas and Peucker, 1973) or Bend/Simplify (Wang, 1996)

  » **Smooth MKP**: PAEK (Bodansky et al., 2002) or Bezier Interpolation (Farin, 1997)

Contour quality

... cartographers typically modify for aesthetic purposes.
Workflow Using *Contour Lines*

- ArcGIS 10.1 – Cartography Toolbox – Generalization Tools
- Smoothing **not Thinning** (MKP already contains all information we need – don’t want to loose any!)
- **P(oynomial) A(pproximation with)E(xponential)K(ernel)** method: *PAEK* (Bodansky et al., 2002)

---

Workflow Using *Raster Surface*

- Smoothing the surface data with Focal Statistics (mean), or other methods.
- Extract new contour lines
Little River (USDA-NRCS) - Example

2-foot contours - GP

2-foot contours - MKP
Cartographic cosmetics...
Little River (USDA-NRCS) - Example

MKP Smoothed (2 runs of PAEK)

Intersection MKP | MKP Smoothed Point/Polygons
• Photogrammetry
  – LiDARgrammetry
• GIS user base
A new item in our vertical accuracy budget?

delta x,y = 1.8 feet
delta z = 2 inches approx.

delta x,y = 22.4 feet
delta z = 24 inches
Usable?
Summary/Conclusions

- Understanding what Model Key Point filtering does/how it works
- Interplay between *surface* and *contour line*
- Loosing information or loosing “noise”?  
  - **Aesthetics vs. Accuracy** => a choice (balance) to make (achieve)
- New type of accuracy assessment needed?
- Rethinking accuracy budget?
- LiDAR= new **type of datasets** with new challenges (opportunities!)
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